## THE SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT

## **ROBERT W. RUNCIE** SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

August 28, 2020

TO:

School Board Members

FROM:

Joris Jabouin

Chief Auditor

Office of the Chief Auditor

VIA:

Robert W. Runcie

Superintendent of Schools

SUBJECT: Addition to DD1, Proposed Audit Plan for the 2020-2021 School/Fiscal Year

for the Office of the Chief Auditor, for the September 1, 2020 School Board

**Operational Meeting** 

Attached is an addition to DD1, Proposed Audit Plan for the 2020-2021 School/Fiscal Year for the Office of the Chief Auditor, for the September 1, 2020 School Board Operational Meeting.

In accordance with the Board Member discussions at the August 11, 2020 School Board Workshop, attached are excerpts from the draft Audit Committee Minutes from the Committee's meeting on August 13, 2020. The attached pages are the Committee's discussions related to item DD1 of the September 1, 2020 School Board Meeting.

Please note that all approved minutes are maintained on the Office of the Chief Auditor website. Thus, a full set of the attached draft minutes will ultimately be posted after they are approved/ finalized by the Committee.

RWR/JMJ:mm Attachments

c: Superintendent's Cabinet Senior Leadership Team



1	SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY
2	
3	
4	AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	Thursday, August 13th, 2020
12	11:04 a.m 2:08 p.m.
13	
14	
	HELD VIA MICROSOFT TEAMS
15	HELD VIA MICROSOFT TEAMS all attendees present via virtual platform
15 16	
16	
16 17	all attendees present via virtual platform
16 17 18	all attendees present via virtual platform  Court Reporter:
16 17 18	all attendees present via virtual platform  Court Reporter:  Emily Scott, stenographic reporter
16 17 18 19	all attendees present via virtual platform  Court Reporter:  Emily Scott, stenographic reporter  Bass Reporting Service, Inc.
16 17 18 19	Court Reporter:  Emily Scott, stenographic reporter  Bass Reporting Service, Inc.  633 SE 3rd Avenue, Ste. 200
16 17 18 19	Court Reporter:  Emily Scott, stenographic reporter  Bass Reporting Service, Inc.  633 SE 3rd Avenue, Ste. 200
16 17 18 19 20	Court Reporter:  Emily Scott, stenographic reporter  Bass Reporting Service, Inc.  633 SE 3rd Avenue, Ste. 200
16 17 18 19 20 21	Court Reporter:  Emily Scott, stenographic reporter  Bass Reporting Service, Inc.  633 SE 3rd Avenue, Ste. 200

1	COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE:
2	MR. ROBERT MAYERSOHN, CHAIR
	MR. ANDREW MEDVIN , VICE CHAIR
3	MR. MOSES BARNES
	MS. REBECCA DAHL
	MR. ANTHONY DE MEO, CPA
4	MS. HAGEN DISCH
	MS. MARY FERTIG
5	MR. MICHAEL GAUCI
	DR. NATHALIE LYNCH-WALSH
6	MS. CONNIE POU
7	MS. PHYLLIS SHAW
	MS. STEPHANIE SHIMM
8	
9	OFFICE OF THE CHIEF AUDIT STAFF:
10	MR. JORIS JABOUIN, Chief Auditor
	MS. ALI ARCESE, Manager, Property and Inventory Audits
11	MS. ANN CONWAY, Manager, Internal Funds Audits
	MR. REYNALDO TUNNERMANN, Manager, Charter School Audits
12	MS. JENNIFER HARPALANI, Manager, IT Audits
	MR. ERIC SEIFER, Auditor III
13	MS. KASHAMA PATEL, Auditor III
	MS. MICHELE MARQUARDT, Executive Secretary
14	MR. BRYAN ERHARD, System Support Specialist II
	MR. JONATHAN TOLENTINO, Confidential Clerk Specialist C
15	
16	DISTRICT STAFF:
17	MR. ROBERT PAUL VIGNOLA, ESQ., Deputy General Counsel
	MS. JUDITH MARTE, Chief Financial Officer
18	MR. DANIEL GOHL, Chief Academic Officer
	DR. VALERIE WANZA, Chief School Performance and
19	Accountability
	MR. JOHN SULLIVAN, Chief Portfolio Services Office
20	MR. ROBERT F. HAMBERGER, Chief Building Official
	MR. RONALD MORGAN, Asst. Chief, Building Official -
21	Inspections
	MR. FRANK GIRARDI, Executive Director, Office of Chief
22	Facilities & Construction Management
	MS. SHELLEY MELONI, Director, Pre-Construction
23	MR. PHILLIP D. KAUFOLD, Director, Construction
	MR. DAVE ARCHER, Director, Program Controls
24	MS. DAVIDA JOHNSON, Director, School Performance &
	Accountability
25	MS. ERUM MOTIWALA, Director, Accounting and Financial
26	Reporting Reporting

1	DISTRICT STAFF CONT.:
2	MS. GERRILYN ARLOTTA, Asst. Director, Accounting and
2	Financial Reporting
3	MS. VIVIAN PILAR, Accountant V
	MS. DIVINE AMOAH, Manager, Architectural Engineering
4	MS. DEBRA CONNELLY, Exec. Secretary, Pre-Construction
_	MS. LAUREN COLLINS, Secretary IV(County),
5	Pre-Construction
6	
7	GUESTS:
8	MR. DAVID LUKER, Director, RSM
9	MR. MATTHEW BLONDELL, COA, Business Risk Consulting, RSM  MR. CHRIS GUMS, RSM
	MR. DUFFY LEIDNER, RSM
10	MS. YVONNE GARTH, President, Garth Solutions, Inc.
	MS. DENIECE WILLIAMS, Garth Solutions, Inc.
11	MR. DANIEL JARDNE, Program Director, CBRE/HEERY
	MR. MICHAEL BOBBY, CBRE/HEERY
12	MS. ASHLEY CARPENTER, Atkins
	MR. DAN O'KEEFE, MSL, CPA & Advisors
13	MR. EDDY CASTANEDA, MSL, CPA & Advisors
	MR. ANDREW GRUB, Student
14	MS. ASHLEY HOUSNER
	MS. CHARLOTTE GREENBAUG
15	MR. JIM SIMON
	MR. SCOTT TRAVIS, Reporter, Sun-Sentinel
16	MR. DONTAE MURRAY
	MS. KATHLEEN LANGAN, AECOM
17	
18	UNIDENTIFIED ATTENDEES:
19	(334)447-9039
	(727)421-4098
20	(904)993-8126
	(754)321-2404
21	(954)683-9344
	(954)779-9036
22	Unknown User
	M(Guest)
23	RH(Guest)
24	
25	

1	It appears that I'm having a hard time hearing
2	you, but I could hear the committee very softly.
3	Give me one moment to adjust one other setting,
4	please.
5	Okay. I'm going to go ahead and get started
6	with my presentation, just do one last check if the
7	committee can hear me?
8	MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes, we can.
9	MR. JABOUIN: Thank you very much.
10	Okay. I do have on the screen the audit plan
11	document. I hope that that appears in on the
12	screen for the committee to be able to view, if I
13	can please get a confirmation of that?
14	MR. DE MEO: Yeah, I can see that.
15	MR. JABOUIN: Thank you very much. I
16	apologize for the technical matter.
17	This agenda item is in accordance with School
18	Board policy to have an audit plan prepared, and
19	this is the audit plan that I prepared for the
20	fiscal school year 2020 and 2021.
21	Now, two years ago, when we had to prepare
22	such a plan, the timing of when I started made it
23	very difficult to do that; I started in June of
24	2018, and the plan was due immediately after that,
25	and it takes a while, a few months, to be able to

prepare such a plan.

I was unable to find any sort of framework as it pertained to the compilation of -- of previous audit plans, and so a year later, last year, there was more information. I was more aware since I had the attended board meetings and workshops; and last year I set up a risk assessment process and plan for preparing an audit plan.

And I also reviewed different plans from different districts on how they address certain types of matters. I had risk assessment meetings. I took a look at data with respect to contracts pertaining to legal, procurement, some of our intergovernmental agreements; certainly, the SMART bond, but also the non-SMART bond projects, and then information technology and -- and maintenance contracts, as well.

In future years, I think the risk assessment process is going to be better, because my knowledge base will continue to get better and I'll continue to get, you know, good, valuable comments from members of the committee on areas that we should take a look at.

So with respect to the document that I have here, there are a few points that I would like

emphasize. One of them is that -- our primary responsibility, and that is to assist the School Board and the superintendent with their oversight responsibilities, and then the audit committee with your advisory responsibilities, as well as district management as it pertains to their strategies and operations and provide them with assurance to be able to meet their goals and objectives and make sure that it's done within appropriate -- in an appropriate internal control environment.

The work itself is set up in accordance with government auditing standards, in accordance with my experience in how an audit function should be conducted to look for internal controls and assess the quality -- the quality of the work, but we don't provide absolute assurance. We -- you know, we test high-risk areas. We do sample testing. We don't test everything, and we do test certain periods of time. We do try to eliminate these type of risks in the work that we do, but an audit is not a document that provides absolute assurance.

I anticipate that in future years, we'll be better able to take a look at the design of controls, put in some very good antifraud components of our program, and take a look at

inefficiencies that can be improved in the environment, in our environment.

So I -- I mean, ultimately, the district management, they are responsible for achieving the goals. They are -- they are responsible for putting in the controls, and they are responsible for identifying and mitigating risks before we get there, before the work starts; and I try to communicate and liaise with them on various types of matters in order for them to be able to have the right environment before an audit starts.

And we do our audits in accordance with government auditing standards. Our peer review check to see that we were doing so, and we are. There were a couple of exceptions in our peer review that we needed to address as far as reviewing, but our work has to be planned. Our team members have to be proficient in their work. Our work needs to be reviewed internally before it's published.

When I was hired a couple years ago, I was asked to put a continuous improvement program, and I have done so. It's very difficult to be able to review, you know, all of the work timely, but it -- it is something that's fundamental to our

1 programming.

Our plan itself has certain assumptions -- I'm going to pause for a quick second just to make sure that people can hear me, because I had the sound problem. Can someone please confirm that my voice is coming in okay?

MR. MAYERSOHN: It's coming in.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, we can hear you.

MR. JABOUIN: Our plan does have certain assumptions.

Any consideration, just like us, we face the health concerns that are out there, and we -- we don't know how things are going to change, hopefully for the better. It does take into account that our team members will be there, that no one is going to resign.

There is an assumption that I have, as far as we are going to hire a facilities audit manager, which is key to a very big part of your program, that we can hire somebody and hopefully that person can start before the end of the year and they will be productive as soon as possible; the schools and the departments, that they are accessible to us given the environment.

And it's very challenging to be able to

anticipate the projections for absences that we have and if any of the projects run over, and we try to make sure that these projects are accurate and they are the right context and that the work is done professionally, and that drives a lot of what we do.

And then, also, operationally, you know, there is also my schedule. It is a very challenging schedule to meet all the different meetings and events that I participate in as far as assisting the District in a variety of different matters, and our auditors are often waiting on me to be able to provide feedback and -- on the work and coaching and -- and direction.

But, ultimately, though, as I go through the plan with you, there is not much room for anything else. So it -- it is a rather aggressive plan, but if something needs to be added, then something needs to be removed; and on some of the work that we started on last year, we're very far along with them, that removing them would actually not be good, considering the amount of time that we've spent on some of those areas.

The plan begins by taking a look at some of the areas that we covered last year. This is

required by government auditing standards, to have such a reconciliation; but we did, on internal funds item number one, perform internal audits -- internal funds audits, despite being down three people in that area.

The charter schools, item number two, we did review the financial statements of all of the charter schools this past year. We brought in more schools than we typically do because of the questions that we had as far as their ability to properly manage their financial statements, questions that we had on that front.

As we move on to the next page, I don't have any particular comments until we get to the operational audits.

The purchase card part on operational audit number one, we -- the followup that we would do was impacted by the fact that Jerry Usallan, who was our facilities audit manager, who did very great work for us, he -- he ran that audit for us, and he retired. And so the followup work that I wanted to do with the purchasing cards, that's going to roll over into next year.

Operational audit number two on the vending machines, we actually have done a substantial

amount of work for that, and my intention was to present that report at the May 2020 meeting, but now it makes sense to wait until the school environment is what it needs to be and observe the operations of the vending machines, in order to make sure that our recommendations and findings are meaningful and they are applicable unto the new environment.

Operational audits number three on BECON was planned, also, for May of 2020, but BECON was heavily involved in the distance-learning objectives that the District, you know, was meeting.

And then, as it pertains to operational audit number four on the discipline, our team did a lot of fieldwork with respect to that. My unavailability to be able to get into the details of the work and the fieldwork, just due to my availability, has impacted this work, because the team often waits for me to be able to get direction or to get support or be able to -- if data is not coming through from the department, to be able to push them. And so my workload impacts the fieldwork in a lot of different audit areas we are talking about, including this particular area.

As we move on to page nine, these are the RSM audits that you are familiar with on facility audits, you know, one through -- I guess one through three.

And then we had begun, on item number seven, the SREF inspections audit. Mr. Usallan had headed that until he retired.

What was new for us this year was the information technology audits. On IT audit number one, the Board, during the presentation of the plan to them, subsequent to the audit committee, wanted to have more information technology work, and so we were able to work on that. We do have meetings that are scheduled with the IT area to be able to go over those within the next month. So that — that project is in the upcoming plan, and that will be shortcoming — forthcoming, excuse me.

And then the Recordex audit is number two.

On the property and inventory audits, we do continue them. Essentially, I'll talk about the technology distribution when I get to -- into the plan a little bit further on, but I wanted to emphasize number three on the inventory process improvement project. Even though we did those audits, we all know that the process needs to be

improved, because it isn't just a result of the audit, because if the audit turns out to be successful, it just may just be by chance that it's successful or unsuccessful until we take a look and we improve the process of that.

Jumping on a little bit further, on page

twelve, we spent a considerable amount of time on

item number five on the auditor general's FEFP or

the FTE audit of transportation. I would say that

we probably spent, from the week before

Thanksgiving up until the Christmas holidays, with

my time exclusively on that and the Recordex, as

well as Ann Conway's time and Jennifer Harpalani's

time on those particular projects.

And then, after that was done, the peer review started in January. That's item number eight, and that took pretty much the entire month of January, and then we had the audit committee meeting at the end of January.

And then, on page 13, you know, we have here some of the work that we assisted with the different firms on; and then we did spend some time benchmarking ourselves on items numbers three, four, six and seven, where we liaised with different districts on a variety of, you know,

different matters.

As it pertains to the next pages, 14 through 16, our team were glad to be able to attend trainings that we do for ourselves, trainings that we do for other people throughout the District, because the more that we can teach them about the correct application of policies and procedures and to avoid internal control gaps, then the better this makes the entire control environment within the District; and then we also participated in the strategic plan meetings and various events with the principals because that allows us to liaise with them in understanding what are the issues and challenges that they face.

Okay. As far as our audit program, the way that I try to structure a variety of the bases or the foundation of what we work on, the assessment process itself is key for me to be able to determine where the risk areas are; and then come challenges of scheduling and processing them and in doing the work and then recording it.

What I think is going to be critical for me, throughout the year, is to be alert to the different risk factors that are changing across the organization. Because even though I'll do a risk

assessment next year, I -- I don't want to be behind in the emerging issues and being able to identify and assist different areas of the District with different challenges that they have in implementing things correctly.

Looking at our unit -- and it's best to look at the following pages; we have organizational chart that I have on pages -- on page 38 and a little bit of a -- of a table on page 37, but, ultimately, our area is broken out amongst -- the first area is the internal funds. This is a required area by statute that we'll have to look at eventually the accounts of the 226 schools.

The second area, which is our operational and discipline, given our risk profile and what the Board, the Superintendent, has communicated, this is a critical area and a very important area of our program.

The charter schools, also important, we have responsibilities as far as oversight of -- of the financial -- the financial concerns of the charter schools; and then, on the operational part, which is the blue slice there, this is the area where there can be discussion as far as where we look at, because our risk profile is going to drive a lot of

1 what we take a look at.

And then the fifth area is facilities. Now, that's broken out into separate subassessments that I do. So you've got the SMART program -- well, I've got a strategy with our RSM; we look at every quarterly on what that program is going to cover.

And then you've got the non-SMART projects that also need to be wonked so we can go ahead and take a look at them. And then there is the regulatory portion of it, which is a different component of SREF, and then the maintenance contracts that also need to be taken a look at.

But this -- but this area here, the facilities, is very heavily dependent -- I mean, some of the work is getting done with RSM -- on our ability to be able to identify and hire and retain and get contributions from a facility audit manager.

The next area, the technology which is in purple, that was new last year, so taking a look at general computer controls and applications and significant IT processes. It turns out the Recordex and the other technology audit, that falls in that area, as well. Even though those are hardware, they are technology hardware.

And then on the green, the property and inventory area, that's required, as well; and what's very key is the inventory process improvement that we will finish up this year.

And then the other area that's in red is "other," and that actually, if I had to right-size the effort amongst the slices, which all look like they are the same, the "other" includes the regulatory, the auditor general, and some of these areas that are extremely important that we have to react immediately and I have to move things around for.

So that -- that's a picture of our area as we get into the audit plan subjects here.

So, in the first line, you have internal funds. So the requirement is the internal funds have to be done within 12 months. So we were able to report on 53 of them, and some of them pertain to the prior year, so 199 of them need to be done for school year '19, and then all 226 for school year '20.

Now, the good thing is, though, our team during the pandemic that they have been prepping for them were able to do two-year audits. So even though that number is greater than it would seem,

we'll be able to move forward with them in the way that Ann Conway, who works for me, is strategizing; but it is lot of work that needs to be done, and we'll -- you know, it's on the plan for this year.

When we are caught up on some of this work in the fourth quarter, we need to streamline our school internal funds audits to carve out the payroll work, because we need to make sure that -- in order to catch up, and we used to be way behind, that we are addressing what's regulatorially required; but now we are going to go ahead and do that on a risk assessment basis.

Property and inventory, that is right below that, but along the lines with some of the questions you had, Mr. Mayersohn: The whole device distribution process. So when you see the property and inventory audit that we are planning, what we are going to do is carve out what's been distributed to the students and to the parents, because that will get its own audit in the line that I have over there, and -- and so the areas that are not inclusive of the device distributions will be what you see in the property and inventory audit. So all of the items that employees may have taken home, all of the items that are in the

```
schools, those are still in scope with that
1
           particular audit.
 2
 3
                Now we head into, as I was talking about
            earlier, all the audits that are in yellow here --
 4
 5
                 MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Jabouin, if we can just
            (inaudible) --
 6
 7
                 (Simultaneous speakers.)
                MR. JABOUIN: -- (inaudible) cannot work in
 8
 9
           those --
10
                MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Jabouin, if we can just
11
            (inaudible) --
                MR. JABOUIN: (Inaudible.)
12
13
                 (Simultaneous speakers.)
                MR. JABOUIN: Hello?
14
15
                MR. MAYERSOHN: If we can --
16
                MR. JABOUIN: Yes.
17
                MR. MAYERSOHN: If we can just go back to page
           21 --
18
                MR. JABOUIN: Of course.
19
                MR. MAYERSOHN: -- if anybody has any
20
           questions on those audits, because this is the plan
21
           for the 20/21 year.
22
23
                 So as we go through these pages, if anybody
           has any questions, I'd rather do it this way, if
24
           it's okay with the committee and yourself, than
25
```

1 come back later on and go: Well, let's go to 21; 2 no, maybe we are going to go to 26. 3 So does anybody have any questions? I can't see if hands are raised, so are we 4 5 good on this? MR. JABOUIN: Let me check. 6 7 MS. DISCH: Mr. Jabouin, I have a question. 8 It's Hagen. 9 MR. MAYERSOHN: Go ahead. 10 MS. DISCH: Hagen Disch. 11 On page 26, you have the roofing --12 MR. MAYERSOHN: Go -- let's go to page 21. 13 are going page by page so --14 MS. DISCH: Page by page, I thought --15 MR. MAYERSOHN: If you have any questions on 16 21 or 22, then hold off those questions until we 17 hit that page. 18 So seeing none, let's go to page 22. MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. 19 20 All right. So as it pertains to page 22, and this goes on to page 23, as I mentioned earlier in 21 my report, our team has done a significant amount 22 23 of work on that, and we should be in a position to issue these, but a huge factor is my availability 24

to be able to look at the detailed work.

1	So tomorrow, at 11 o'clock is my first meeting
2	with the auditors that work for me that have been
3	working on this fieldwork for quite a while, after
4	they have been trying to meet with me for a while.
5	So a lot of that is based on us getting to
6	closure has to deal with my availability to do the
7	detailed work on this and the different audits that
8	we are doing, and that's if I may,
9	Mr. Mayersohn, that applies to both pages 22 and 23
10	up until we get to number five.
11	I'll pause now.
12	MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. Does anybody have any
13	questions on these two pages?
14	MR. BARNES: Yes, Mr. Mayersohn, on 22.
15	MR. MAYERSOHN: Go ahead.
16	MR. BARNES: Barnes.
17	Mr. Jabouin, it doesn't tell me, but it may be
18	a part of the scope of body of work.
19	On the discipline matrix, in that side, we
20	talk about student services appropriate for
21	discipline. When we do these audit reports, will
22	there be a breakout based on grade levels, race,
23	and such? Because when I look at it, it says it's
24	going to be done; but when the final product come
25	out, will I be able to tell how many nonwhites, how

many blacks, how many, you know, hispanics on these different discipline issues?

MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Barnes, I -- I was not originally intending on doing it like that. I can look at the data and -- to be able to see if I can identify that, because the basis of selection was schools that had a high number of -- of discipline instances, as well as schools that had a low number of discipline incidents, but it was right-sized based on the population of the schools. We excluded elementary schools. It was mostly middle and high schools so -- and the reason why we chose schools that had a low number was to try to determine if there was incompletion of data.

So we may have that information, but it wasn't the basis of selection; and then I have to check to see whether or not -- I mean, whether or not I am able to sort of -- to be able to talk about that and those type of things and those type of categories.

But -- but we'll know the instances that we are talking about, though, and maybe I can work with some of the departments that do that as it pertains to any issues regarding any -- any concerns that people might have on that front.

So I'll have to keep that in mind, Mr. Barnes, but that wasn't the focus as far as a selection.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BARNES: Well, I think the schools would probably -- you would probably be able to identify some trends by just looking at the -- the makeup of the school population or its location; but what I was getting at is one of the things that we have dealt with in this district is, say if you are in a school where the majority of the students are not students of color and you may have a lower representation of students of color in a -- in that school, but what you'll find out is that the discipline, even though they may be low in number -- what I am saying: The school population sometimes reflects the basic community population in terms of how -- I'm just saying, at some point, we need to be able to identify whether there is -and that may not be yours, but I hope that the audit report would show that, that discipline should -- should be the same no matter where you are, no matter where the make-up.

So when the results come out, I want to be able to look at -- we should be able to look at it and say the discipline is the same at Stoneman Douglas as it would be in Hallandale High School,

1	based on
2	MR. JABOUIN: I certainly understand your
3	point.
4	I think what would make sense to me when the
5	statistics come out, is that I meet up with the
6	cabinet colleagues that are more experts into these
7	type of things and share that data with them,
8	because, obviously, the points that you bring up,
9	Mr. Barnes, are some that are discussed in our
LO	communities.
11	MR. BARNES: Okay.
L2	MS. FERTIG: I just want to join with
L3	Mr. Barnes in saying I think that's a data point
L4	that should be included in your audit, and when you
15	are doing the audit is the time to do it. I hope
L6	that that will be a component of what you do.
L7	That's Mary Fertig.
L8	MS. DAHL: Rebecca Dahl, I agree with Mary
L9	Fertig and Mr. Barnes. I believe the
20	disaggregation of the incidents with with race
21	is extremely important and should be definitely
22	part of that.
23	MR. JABOUIN: My comment to the committee is

that my expertise is not necessarily in those type

of factors, so when we are talking about technical

24

т	compitance with the polities and procedures, versus
2	making conclusions as it pertains to those type of
3	socioeconomic type of matters, that is a little bit
4	of a different discipline on that. I mean, I think
5	I would have to share that data with those that are
6	better qualified to that in order to be able to
7	make any conclusions from an audit standpoint.
8	MR. BARNES: Mr. Jabouin?
9	MR. JABOUIN: Yes, Mr. Barnes?
10	MR. BARNES: I think what would solve this
11	problem, and it would not be some additional
12	MR. MAYERSOHN: You just muted yourself.
13	MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Barnes?
14	MR. BARNES: Okay. Now I'm good. Are you
15	good now?
16	MR. MAYERSOHN: Now I can hear you.
17	MR. BARNES: Yeah, it did it by itself.
18	What I am saying is, the problem that we are
19	talking about, it should not be an issue if the
20	reporting is district-wide, school by school. If
21	every school is required to report certain data,
22	then you will be able to pull from a district-level
23	database, and it could be disaggregated that way.
24	What I am saying is, it needs to be consistent
25	district-wide on reporting, not only discipline,

1	but threat what I am saying, all of these things
2	that deal with school-based discipline matrix, it's
3	the reporting criteria that needs to be in place
4	which will be universal throughout the district, if
5	that is in place, there will be no problem with
6	going in and pulling data that we are talking about
7	right now.
8	MR. JABOUIN: I agree.
9	MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Jabouin, can I and I
10	don't know if Mr. Gohl is still on the line or
11	Dr. Wanza.
12	DR. WANZA: Yes.
13	MR. MAYERSOHN: Maybe they can add into this
14	regarding, I guess, the basis system and how that
15	works and how what's reported in and what's
16	reported out.
17	MR. JABOUIN: I wanted to mention something
18	before either of them jump in.
19	I had communicated to them, as recently as
20	last week, that when we are ready to go over the
21	data, that they are both involved in it, and so I
22	I'll I'll obviously bring up the points that you
23	mentioned, if Mr. Gohl or Dr. Wanza are still on.
24	DR. WANZA: So this is Valerie Wanza, chief

school performance and accountability officer.

1	Good afternoon, everybody. I trust that all
2	is well with all of your families.
3	MR. MAYERSOHN: Welcome, Dr. Wanza.
4	DR. WANZA: You are welcome.
5	So I heard bits and pieces. I did hear what
6	Mr. Barnes was saying, and it is true that the
7	District does have a standard, if you will,
8	discipline plan that is to be uniformly and
9	consistently applied across or administered,
10	I'll say, across all schools. We do have one for
11	secondary, one for the primary grades and I'm
12	sorry, one for the intermediate grades in
13	elementary, and then there was some conversation,
14	obviously because, I'm going to say, behavioral
15	responses at the PK to 2 level may be a little bit
16	different than you would administer, obviously, for
17	a 5th grader, as opposed to a five-year-old.
18	But to answer your question: Yes, we do have
19	a discipline matrix that is supposed to be
20	administered consistently across all schools.
21	The the District's databases as it relates to
22	basis, terms, all of that does have all the
23	demographic data.
24	So, you know, if the it is if it is the
25	will of the committee that you want to see it

1	reported by demographically within infractions,
2	across infractions and across schools, that
3	capability is there.
4	I hope that answers the question. If I didn't
5	hear it appropriately, or if I didn't hear it the
6	way you intended it, ask it again, and I'll be glad
7	to respond.
8	MR. BARNES: You answered my question, Doc.
9	DR. WANZA: Okay. Thank you.
10	MR. MAYERSOHN: So I think Mr. Jabouin, from
11	what I'm hearing, is that Mr. Barnes' concerns and
12	comments that he made was obviously supported by
13	Ms. Dahl, as well as Ms. Fertig, without going
14	through a roll call, I'm sure some of the other
15	members; so when you do that audit, if you can
16	include some of that information, I think that
17	would be the will of the committee, if I'm hearing
18	that correct.
19	MS. SHAW: Phyllis Shaw, I would like to
20	speak?
21	MR. MAYERSOHN: Go ahead, Phyllis.
22	MS. SHAW: My only concern in having an
23	accounting auditor look at the statistics or the
24	results from the data that is collected is

misinterpretation. I would rather have someone who

is skilled in this particular area who is able to look at the data, make sure there are no underlying issues, make sure that the trending is correct, the information is correct, be able to follow up with the right questions, if they are looking at certain datas and the data may not seem okay; versus an accountant, who can look at statistics and can calculate the statistics and say, this particular area, the school's number is higher based on the discipline matrix.

I mean, that's great; but I don't think it's going to yield a result that we are going to receive, or if we receive the result, it may not be conclusive or correct. That's my only issue with having an accountant, per se, look -- looking at those numbers.

I think it's the great idea to have it reviewed. I am on the discipline matrix committee, so we really need to make sure that the data is being interpreted correctly when it comes out.

## UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: If I --

MR. JABOUIN: If I may add to what Mr. Shaw was saying, even though I understand the components of what was mentioned, my skill set, as far as interpreting these matters with respect to the

1 community is limited on that.

Obviously, I can determine compliance with the discipline matrix and the -- and the District policies and procedures; but being able to make any conclusions as far as different parts of the community is a bit challenging.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So, Ms. Shaw, is that a recommendation?

MS. SHAW: Well, my recommendation is for -not for the internal audit committee, unless there
is someone with the experience to be able to
evaluate the data and provide sufficient finding.

If that's the case, we need to get someone who is
skilled in that area to do it, and I don't think
your internal auditors are thoroughly skilled.

They can provide some information, but I don't
think it's information that we need to publicize
and rely on, because there may be errors in
those -- in some of that information that needs to
be reviewed.

For example, the example he gave is doing a comparison between the two schools, one of which was Hallandale. What happens if you are looking at the Hallandale data but it's not completely conclusive? There may be underlying issues that

are relating to those numbers. Someone who is skilled in that area would be able to pick it up and ask more appropriate questions to find out what is really going on, or vice versa.

MR. JABOUIN: As to what Ms. Shaw is saying, as part of the fieldwork, we needed assistance from people that were more expert in those particular areas as to the application of it, because, ultimately, there is a knowledge base with that type of situation that is more than we can do, outside of just checking for compliance with the policies and procedures; but being able to interpret them, as far as the rest of society, is a bit more than we will be able to do.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So, again, Ms. Shaw, what -what I'm -- what I'm asking is, because this is the
Office of the Chief Auditor's plan, which we can
make comments, and when it's presented to the
School Board, our comments will be included in
there, so what I'm asking is, if you would -- if
you feel that you would like to make some
direction, motion, that this plan include some
outside agency that might have some more insight -no offense to Mr. Jabouin and his staff, but
someone who may have that expertise, as such was --

1	and, again, I'm not saying the validity of the
2	report or anything, but similar several years ago
3	to the Evergreen report that was done by an outside
4	agency.
5	MS. SHAW: Right. Yes. Yes.
6	MR. MAYERSOHN: So are you making a motion?
7	MS. SHAW: I am actually, yes, what you
8	just said, but I'll wait until there are other
9	comments. How about that?
10	MS. FERTIG: I'll second her motion.
11	MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay.
12	MS. SHAW: Thank you.
13	MR. MAYERSOHN: I'm just dealing with this
14	page, these two pages, so then we can move on, I
15	guess, and
16	MS. DAHL: Mr. Mayersohn?
17	MR. MAYERSOHN: What?
18	MS. DAHL: This is Rebecca Dahl.
19	MR. JABOUIN: Yes.
20	MS. DAHL: I agree with what Ms. Shaw is
21	saying, but I think we have to be very cognizant of
22	the fact that the tenor of the United States has
23	changed dramatically in the past several months,
24	and I believe Dr. Wanza could, you know, agree with
25	me that one of the reasons the Promise program was

1	started was because we had the most discipline
2	problems in the state and it was heavily on the
3	black children.
4	So I don't want us to come back looking
5	like I want us to come back with a real picture.
6	So I agree with Ms. Shaw that we need to have an
7	outside, because I'm still very concerned that
8	discipline is netted out more to the minority
9	children, black and brown, than it is to white.
10	That's my comment.
11	MR. MAYERSOHN: So are you supporting Ms
12	so you are supporting
13	MS. DAHL: Yes, absolutely. Absolutely, it
14	has to have somebody else that can look at that
15	data and tell us, you know, is there is there
16	inequities in the discipline that is being given to
17	children.
18	MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. Mr. De Meo?
19	MR. DE MEO: Yes, I do think having a report
20	that discloses some of the outcomes of that program
21	would be useful, but I think for an internal
22	auditor, it's beyond the scope of what auditors do.
23	If if the OCA is going to test for
24	sign-offs and appropriate checklists and that type
25	of thing, which are objective and can be reported

on, I think that's appropriate; but I think beyond
that, it would be inappropriate for the OCA to
attempt to compile that data which might need
filtering, as everyone else has spoken about, and
it might be misleading.

So it would be great -- it would be great if that's the wisdom of and the will of this group to have a special report done by experts who can interpret and understand and synthesize the data, that's fine; but I really think it's beyond the scope of the internal auditors to go beyond compliance, let's say.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So -- so I guess what you are saying is, If the will of the group is go with an external auditor that has expertise, you are willing to accept that; but for the internal auditor to currently do, it's just going to meet more of the compliance standards.

MR. DE MEO: That's -- that's correct, and I, in fact, I wouldn't use the term "auditors"; I would use the term, you know, "sociologists," those trained in these specific human behavior categories.

I don't think our auditors, who do great work,
are -- are equipped to do that.

1	MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. So it's it sounds
2	like the will of the committee is to have an
3	external, call it, company that that can able
4	to interpret data, interpret discipline, if
5	that's and I believe Ms. Shaw made a motion and
6	Ms. Fertig seconded.
7	Ms. Shaw, you want to restate your motion?
8	MS. SHAW: My motion is for the for the
9	internal auditor to work to hire a company who is
10	able to look at the the policies and procedures
11	as it relates to the discipline matrix and be able
12	to evaluate whether it is being applied across the
13	district, regardless of school and grade, across
14	equity equality, sorry.
15	MR. MAYERSOHN: Ms. Fertig, you seconded that
16	motion, correct, Ms. Fertig? You can nod your
17	head.
18	MS. FERTIG: Yes.
19	MR. MAYERSOHN: All right.
20	MS. FERTIG: I can nod my head.
21	MR. MAYERSOHN: You can.
22	All right. So seeing that it seems to be that
23	Ms again, Ms. Shaw made a motion. Ms. Fertig
24	seconded it. Let's take a vote.

All those in favor signify by saying "aye."

1	MS. POU: I have a question. Can you hear me?
2	MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes.
3	MS. POU: This is Connie Pou.
4	MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes.
5	MS. POU: Okay. So let me let me
6	understand this. Are we saying that we are going
7	to be separating the report from the auditor, which
8	is going to address all the technical issues of
9	compliance, from the idea of getting onboard
10	experts that will be able to interpret this data?
11	MR. MAYERSOHN: I I believe that we are
12	looking for an outside firm that will do both, as
13	opposed to putting the burden on the auditor; and,
14	again, this would be up to the to the, you know,
15	Board, but the way that I'm hearing it is that that
16	can be accomplished by one group, as opposed to
17	having two groups work simultaneously, one
18	providing data, one interpreting data, because I'm
19	sure that there will be additional questions that
20	the outside group may need to ask or investigate,
21	versus the internal auditor meeting up with what
22	standards and compliance need to be met.
23	MS. SHAW: Absolutely, leave them to do the
24	work of the internal auditor.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Right. So we are relieving

1	the internal auditor of doing the work and having
2	the outside agency do it, which will give the
3	internal auditor more ability to do other things.
4	MS. POU: Okay.
5	MR. JABOUIN: If I may, it sounds like this
6	would be a separate project outside of this project
7	that we would seek to engage somebody to do.
8	What happens is, we are far along into the
9	fieldwork. You can have different fiscal years.
10	So you are going to have another firm come in
11	they really can't jump.
12	But, also, my concern is my time is running
13	out, and we another audit report to do.
14	But if the motion, and I would need it to be
15	restated, is that there be a separate evaluation of
16	this after we are done, then that is something that
17	it seems like it is reasonable to pursue.
18	MS. SHAW: Yes, this is Phyllis Shaw.
19	MR. MAYERSOHN: Ms. Shaw, it's your motion.
20	MS. SHAW: Yes.
21	MR. JABOUIN: Ms. Shaw, without putting words
22	in your mouth, could the motion be calling for a
23	separate evaluation of discipline data with respect
24	to equity by an outside firm after the discipline

audit work is done; is that reasonable?

1	MS. SHAW: Yes, yes, that is reasonable. I'll
2	accept that. I'll accept it.
3	MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. Ms. Fertig, do you
4	second that?
5	MS. FERTIG: I do. Thank you.
6	MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. All right.
7	Dr. Lynch-Walsh, you had a question on project
8	five, which I says you want to know if it's part
9	of the motion?
10	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I'm just making sure
11	that the motion the motion is limited to project
12	five?
13	MR. JABOUIN: Just to provide a clarification,
14	project five, the discipline technology
15	application, is not even though, it's a it's
16	an audit of the behavioral it's a technical
17	audit as it pertains to general computer controls.
18	So it just happens to be a discipline program.
19	So I don't think that the that would be
20	inclusive of
21	MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. Does that suffice?
22	Does that answer your question?
23	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, not really.
24	I am just trying to make sure that I
25	understand what the motion is limited to on this

```
1
           case.
                MS. SHAW: The motion is limited to, yes, the
 3
           discipline matrix (inaudible) --
                 (Simultaneous speakers.)
 4
 5
                 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Thank you -- thank you,
 6
           Phyllis.
 7
                MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay.
                DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Now I'm good.
 8
 9
                MR. MAYERSOHN: All right. Now you are good.
10
                 So all those in favor of the motion, signify
11
           by saying "aye."
                ALL PRESENT: Aye.
12
13
                MR. MAYERSOHN: Opposed?
14
                Seeing none.
15
                All right. The motion passes unanimously.
16
                All right. We can move on to the next.
17
                MR. JABOUIN: I have concern that I actually
18
           don't have time to go through the items that I
           would have like to in the detail that I would like
19
20
           to be able to provide the background.
21
                Maybe, Mr. Mayersohn, it would be best to take
           questions throughout the document, because we just
22
           have --
23
24
                MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay.
                MR. JABOUIN: -- the roof inspection work, and
25
```

1	one of the key members of the roof inspection
2	response team needs to leave at at a certain
3	time.
4	MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. So let's go through,
5	then, any specific items.
6	Dr. Lynch-Walsh, you had a question on
7	page 24?
8	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.
9	Project number six, point of clarification, we
LO	passed a motion which essentially was anything that
L1	Tony Hunter touched, we wanted audited, and it
12	started with the Lenovos. I'm unclear whether
L3	number six addresses Lenovos, because I don't
L4	actually see the word here, and can that word be
L5	added, as that's part of this, or I am looking
16	for clarification. That's the first question.
L7	Then the second question I guess my second
L8	question depends on the answer to this one.
L9	MR. JABOUIN: What was discussed was, I went
20	through a list of projects that I compiled
21	regarding the ones that involved Tony Hunter, and
22	the decision was that we look at the largest one,
23	which is this particular bid number. This bid

covers a variety of different computers,

technological purchases. We are looking at the

24

1	entire purchase. If it happens to include a
2	certain brand, then it does; but we are looking at
3	all of the purchases that are involved with that
4	particular bid.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Mr. Mayersohn?
MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So I can't even get to my second question, because we're still working on the first question.

It sounds like, in a roundabout way, the answer is yes, this is includes Lenovos. So since we passed a motion, after discussion about Lenovos, it would seem prudent to identify the full name of this bid and provide us with the backup for this bid so we know what's being audited. If we are going to put the bid number, I'm not sure if there is something -- everyone is hesitant to put the word "Lenovo" in there, but that would clarify it for the group.

And then I'm a little concerned that -- about the auditor it is being assigned to, considering that this audit firm did not have as its finding the thing that -- the most basic thing, which was the Recordex purchase that was used to circumvent the rule about not splitting purchases to avoid --

I think it's 500,000, and then they had to go back
and add that in.

So I'm a little concerned that we would, A, not put -- be specific about what this -- what number six is about; and, B, that it would be assigned to the same firm -- I'm all about redemption, but I'm a little concerned that it would be given to the same firm that didn't include the most obvious -- what should have been the most obvious finding related to the Recordex audit.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Jabouin?

MR. JABOUIN: I think Dr. Lynch-Walsh needs to refer to the audit committee minutes on page four on the specific motion.

Also, it is important to look at the entire bid, and not limit ourselves to the exact wording. There certainly are inaccuracies, of which she said that we need to go ahead and make sure that that's correct; but at the end of the day, if you look at the bid, then we cover all of the purchases, not just the particular Lenovo brand. It's better, from a strategic standpoint, to go with that approach.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Dr. Walsh, does that answer your question?

1	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Not really, but I'm
2	requesting the bid be provided to the audit
3	committee so that we have transparency on what is
4	about to be audited; and, no, we are not
5	apparently, we are not going to answer why we are
6	giving it to HCT.
7	MR. JABOUIN: I recommend that the committee
8	go against that suggestion. We will look at the
9	at the bid during the process; and during the audit
10	testing, we will go ahead and make the audit
11	decisions on that end.
12	HCT, during their report, they did identify
13	they had a different position than I did. I had an
14	additional comment, an additional finding than they
15	did. I still think that they are the best firm to
16	go ahead and and do this work. Identifying
17	another firm, at this particular point, will delay
18	the work.
19	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. Mayersohn?
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Mayersohn?
21	MR. MAYERSOHN: Let's Ms. Walsh
22	Dr. Walsh still has the floor.
23	Go ahead.
24	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. I'll leave the HCT.

The Board can also weigh in on HCT.

1	Just for point of clarification, did
2	Mr. Jabouin just say that he would not provide the
3	audit committee with the bid document?
4	MR. JABOUIN: Yes.
5	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Now, you do realize that it
6	would be a public record and
7	MR. JABOUIN: I recommended to the committee
8	that they do not, but if the committee asks as a
9	whole, because we do not take instructions from an
10	individual member, then we will go ahead and do
11	that, and that's fine for the public record.
12	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, you are saying we need
13	to pass a motion to get the bid documents provided
14	to us; otherwise, you are not going to. Got it.
15	Okay. (Inaudible.)
16	(Simultaneous speakers.)
17	MR. JABOUIN: (Inaudible) nothing further.
18	MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay.
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have a question.
20	MR. MAYERSOHN: Who is that?
21	MS. SHAW: Phyllis
22	MS. DISCH: Hagen Disch.
23	MS. FERTIG: And then Mary Fertig.
24	MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. So Ms. Disch and then
25	Ms. Fertig, go ahead.

1	MS. DISCH: I have two questions.
2	On page 26, we have the roof inspection
3	process and then a followup. Is that going to be
4	an audit or an analysis?
5	MR. JABOUIN: I anticipate, Ms. Disch, that
6	there will be action plans that are going to take
7	months to do on the roof inspection, and I think
8	that it's worthy to have a followup. I think it is
9	fundamental that we have a followup, a formal
10	followup on that end; but I don't think there is
11	some of the action plans are going to be disclosed
12	timely that they need to put that, but it will have
13	recommendations.
14	MS. DISCH: So is it going to be an audit
15	or
16	MR. JABOUIN: It can still be an analysis, as
17	well.
18	MS. DISCH: What is the difference? Because
19	it's listed as an audit in your plan.
20	MR. JABOUIN: I mean, I think we can call it
21	an analysis on the plan, but that's totally
22	appropriate, as well.
23	MS. DISCH: And then my next question was, at
24	my first meeting as a member of the audit
25	committee, we had a big ESE presentation on the

1	Evergreen report, and I don't see any update on
2	your audit plan regarding that, and we had asked to
3	have another thorough meeting with all those
4	members back in attendance.
5	MR. JABOUIN: So the ESE work was not one that
6	was done by my office a few years ago, so we do
7	intend on meeting with the department that did the
8	presentation for an update, but they they would
9	do that, provide an update from that particular
10	meeting, but it's not something that's my product;
11	it's them providing an update to what they
12	previously provided the committee.
13	MS. DISCH: Is that going to be on the
14	calendar for any of the 2020 meetings?
15	MR. JABOUIN: It is, because you requested.
16	Now, it was originally being discussed for
17	May, and now we'll have to find the appropriate
18	time for it.
19	MS. DISCH: Okay.
20	MR. MAYERSOHN: I think I think, to
21	Ms. Disch's point, and I'm going to just chime in
22	here, because I think I made the motion to do that,
23	I think if there is somewhere in the audit plan
24	denoting that in another, call it, matrix or

whatever it may be to denote things that are --

1	bring that are that are brought back to the
2	committee, but not necessarily the workings of the
3	auditor, so that at least we have it memorialized
4	so that we can know and that it will be coming.
5	Does that make sense to you, Mr. Jabouin?
6	MR. JABOUIN: Mr. Mayersohn, slightly.
7	You may recall, before the pandemic, I used to
8	provide a normal chief auditor report
9	MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.
10	MR. JABOUIN: and I haven't been able to do
11	that, due to timing; and there I used to capture a
12	variety of different points the committee requested
13	and how they stood. If I can if I can go ahead
14	and resurface that, then I think that might be the
15	best (inaudible)
16	(Multiple voices and audio disruptions.)
17	MR. MAYERSOHN: Somebody has got their
18	MR. JABOUIN: (inaudible) my team is going
19	to be doing, this would be done by Mr. Gohl's team.
20	And I think, Mr. Gohl, are you still on, as
21	far as whether or not you can commit to doing that
22	for the committee?
23	MR. GOHL: I am, and just let me know when.
24	Obviously, the opening of school is our immediate
25	time; but we will certainly come at the committee's

1	request to provide an update on the status of
2	exceptional student learning supports and how the
3	Evergreen report, while closed, continues to inform
4	our work.
5	MR. JABOUIN: I think, Mr. Mayersohn, that I
6	can capture that in the normal chief auditor's
7	report that I used to compile.
8	MR. MAYERSOHN: Right, and I think to
9	Mr. Gohl's comment that he made at the time where
10	he said they are going to come back, because that's
11	kind of a fluid document, there may be some
12	information about, obviously, eLearning and the
13	process and how is that working and structure,
14	because I know a lot of ESE parents are concerned
15	about that, so I already saw the thumbs-up, so I
16	am okay.
17	MR. GOHL: You are correct, sir; and
18	congratulations on your election to both you and
19	the vice chair.
20	MR. MAYERSOHN: Thank you.
21	Are there any additional comments from the
22	audit committee on this report?
23	MS. FERTIG: Yes, this is Mary Fertig.
24	MR. MAYERSOHN: Mary Fertig, you are on.
25	MS. FERTIG: Okay. On the subject of

1	technology audit, I it's my understanding, and I
2	was just sitting here. Fortunately, we are in
3	the format we are using, I am able to be
4	researching on another device, so I believe that is
5	the major audit major technology purchase made
6	in 2016, and I just want to make sure that that
7	audit captures the pricing and all the things you
8	can and also how those devices have performed,
9	because those were there were a lot of upfront
10	questions on whether that was the best device, and
11	I've heard there have been a lot of comments since
12	that it may not have been it may not necessarily
13	have been the best. I am not weighing in one way
14	or the other. I am just saying I would like to
15	know I am not stating this well, Joris, but do
16	you know what I am trying to say?
17	MR. JABOUIN: I do. In fact
18	MS. FERTIG: And also what the outcome of that
19	purchase has been, as far as the usefulness of the
20	technology.
21	MR. JABOUIN: Yes, Ms Ms. Fertig, can you
22	hear me?
23	MS. FERTIG: Yes.
24	MR. JABOUIN: Okay. I did have a meeting
25	with with HCT yesterday, and I did express that

1	there needs to be a quality component
2	MS. FERTIG: Thank you.
3	MR. JABOUIN: to the product, as well; and,
4	hopefully I mentioned to them that it's not just
5	whether or not they need be to be fixed and
6	repaired, you know, what is the warranty situation
7	with that. So I think the essence of what you are
8	talking about I communicated to them yesterday.
9	MS. FERTIG: Okay. All right. Thank you.
LO	And I I had a question on the P cards when
11	were you discussing them. Are you moving ahead
L2	with that audit?
L3	I feel that's a really important one to move
L4	ahead with. I understand where vending machines
L5	right now might not be getting the use that they
L6	would have a year ago, but I feel like P cards
L7	maybe are more so, so I just wanted to know what
L8	you are doing with that audit.
L9	MR. JABOUIN: Well, it's on the plan; and,
20	unfortunately, I am going to need to hire the
21	facilities audit manager and have them work on
22	that.
23	If you look at my org chart, on the bottom of
24	the page, it's not I mean, obviously, it's

important, you know. We did this. We know the

1	risk. We know the issues. We'll try to get
2	them like, I have it slotted for the fourth
3	quarter. It's really just based on how I
4	anticipate that the workload will go, but I concur
5	with you, Ms. Fertig.
6	MS. FERTIG: Thank you.
7	Thank you, Mr. Mayersohn.
8	MR. MAYERSOHN: You are welcome.
9	Are there any other questions, any other
10	committees members
11	MULTIPLE UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS: Yes.
12	MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. Who is that?
13	MR. DE MEO: Anthony De Meo.
14	MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Robert De Meo, or changed
15	his name to "Anthony."
16	MR. DE MEO: Anthony. Robert is my cousin.
17	He is in Chicago.
18	Accounting and internal auditing are very
19	occupied with historical data; but internal
20	controls, some of them have the nature of
21	preventative and detective, so they prevent and
22	they detect errors, and they safeguard the
23	District's assets and critical information, and
24	they insure that the financial statements are free
25	from material misstatement.

Okay. So on page 28, there are special assignments; and on page 35, there are two future initiatives entitled IT "General" and "Application" controls. I think these -- this area is critical, and I would like to see it moved up with the IT security, which I'm very pleased to see; and I think they are items 28 and ...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, IT controls are important. They make sure that only those that are authorized have access to certain levels of data. They make sure that the applications, the actual programs, are run properly; and I -- I would urge our chief auditor, Mr. Jabouin, if you could move them up as soon as possible, because while we rightfully are occupied with transactions that have occurred four and three and five and six years ago, I am more concerned -or equally concerned about all of that data being protected that's on our various systems and the access to it, as well as the security from cyber threats. And I -- and I think we need to balance the audit program with consideration of both those two areas, IT general controls, IT application controls.

MR. JABOUIN: Mr. De Meo, I -- I agree totally with what you are saying. I'm glad that we were

able to put IT into the project. It's not an area
that we have covered for like at least ten years.

I would like to ask to you consider waiting until you see the results of our IT work, and I can see us leaning on you and your background with that. And I totally agree that it's important.

One of my open positions is the supporting person to Jennifer Harpalani under our org chart, and given our -- our hiring freeze, we'll hire the facility audit manager, but we can, and should, observe the hiring freeze. The District is facing a lot of financial issues.

So I understand your point, and I will try my best to do that; and I would like to chat with you, particularly after the IT audits are done, to get your thoughts.

But, yes, I totally agree. There is so much exposure in the information technology area, and I'm glad it's part of our plan now, if that helps a little bit, Mr. De Meo.

MR. DE MEO: Well, I think you can also get some assistance from an outside firm. They have lots of -- RSM and others have lots of capability in those areas and --

MR. JABOUIN: Yeah.

1	MR. DE MEO: as I don't speak for all
2	the audit committee members; but as a member of the
3	audit committee, if something happened in those
4	areas and we had not given them much attention in
5	ten years, you know, I I think it might not
6	reflect well on us.
7	MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. Are there any other
8	questions on the audit plan?
9	MS. SHAW: Phyllis Shaw.
10	MR. MAYERSOHN: Phyllis Shaw.
11	I just before you go, Phyllis
12	MS. SHAW: Yes.
13	MR. MAYERSOHN: I just want to remind
14	everybody that it is now 1:17, and we still have
15	the roofing process and analysts analysis, I
16	mean. I think that's going to take a while, so
17	let's judge ourselves accordingly.
18	Go ahead, Ms. Shaw.
19	MS. SHAW: Thank you.
20	So Ms. Fertig covered at least two of the
21	three items I wanted to look at. So the P cards
22	she covered, thank you, and also the technology
23	audit.
24	I wouldn't dare tell you who to hire to do the
25	audit, but knowing that we are going to be looking

at the audit report, I am -- I am happy that you are looking at the entire audit process, the entire requisition, not just the portion that applies to the -- this portion of it, but the entire thing, because I think that once you look at the entire package, I think we will know -- we'll know that -- whether the process was a good process or is there anything missing. So I'm happy about that.

On the roof audit, you said that there could be an audit, on the roof review, there could be an audit. I would like to see an audit; and if I need to make a motion that we include an audit for the roof, then I would like to do so right now. I don't want it to be a process or an inspection. I would like it to be a roof audit, an actual roof audit.

MR. JABOUIN: Okay. If I may add, Ms. Shaw, it probably is better to look at the process, because that provides an opportunity to kind of look at it from beginning to end. That's probably a better scope now; and then later you get to that, probably in phase four or something like that.

MS. SHAW: Okay. That's fine, but just know that it probably will come back once we get the result of the --

1	MR. JABOUIN: Sure.
2	MS. SHAW: of the process.
3	Thank you.
4	MR. MAYERSOHN: All right. Anybody else have
5	any further questions on the office of the chief
6	auditor proposed 2020-2021
7	MR. GAUCI: Yes.
8	MR. MAYERSOHN: Mr. Gauci?
9	MR. GAUCI: Yes, this is just an
10	administrative question.
11	You mentioned in earlier meetings, and you
12	also mentioned when you started to talk about this
13	report, that there are certain required audits and
14	reviews. You mentioned, as an example, the
15	internal funds earlier. Can we note somewhere on
16	the report which audits or reviews are required or
17	mandated versus which ones are not? As that would
18	be important for me to see.
19	MR. JABOUIN: Yeah, actually, it's kind of
20	interesting. The required ones are going to be at
21	the beginning. So the schools internal funds and
22	the property and inventory, those are required, and
23	they cover all the schools.
24	Then there is some work that we don't report

on that is required, like where it deals with the

auditor general. There is no latitude to not do any of that, so those aren't as easily identified.

But the interesting thing is, as you look at our audit world, much of our time is spent on the internal funds and property and inventory. That's where most of our resources are, and then some of the "other work" that's here. Whereas the opportunity to -- you know what, let me just go to my org chart way at the end.

So, I'm sorry this is small; I am going to make this a little bit bigger, and I realize I'm taking time. I'll talk very fast.

Ultimately, Mr. Gauci, these people here are the property and inventory. They are all doing required work. These people here on the internal funds, they are all doing required work. So what you have left are these -- well, these, the charter schools, are doing required work.

So you are really left with the maintenance and the information technology and operational audit, as far as where we have latitude to use risk to determine where to go --

MR. GAUCI: Yeah.

MR. JABOUIN: -- if that helps answer it a little bit.

1	MR. GAUCI: No, it certainly does; and I am
2	just saying it would be very helpful if the report
3	notes it somewhere, that we know or whoever the
4	audit committee members are now and in the future,
5	that they see these: All right, these are the
6	required audits versus the ones with latitude.
7	MR. JABOUIN: Thank you. I will do so.
8	MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay.
9	MS. FERTIG: Mr. Mayersohn?
10	MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes.
11	MS. FERTIG: Do you do you need a motion?
12	MR. MAYERSOHN: Yes, to submit, yes; to accept
13	the plan, yes.
14	MS. FERTIG: And that was what my motion was,
15	Mary Fertig.
16	MS. SHAW: Phyllis Shaw, second.
17	MR. MAYERSOHN: To accept the plan, Mary
18	Fertig. Phyllis Shaw second.
19	All those in favor, signify by saying "aye."
20	ALL PRESENT: Aye.
21	MS. FERTIG: That was to submit the plan with
22	the changes, yes.
23	MR. MAYERSOHN: Anybody with the with
24	the recommended changes, correct.
25	Anybody have any nays?

1 Seeing none, the plan passes unanimously.